1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION
5	DANIEL RAMIREZ, individually and on CASE NO. 5:10-cv-04374 EJD
6	behalf of all others similarly situated,  ORDER RE: AMENDED JOINT CASE  MANYAGEN EDITOR OF A FIRM FROM THE STATE OF A FIR
7	MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Plaintiff(s),
8	V.
9	UNITED RENTALS, INC.,
10	Defendant(s).
11	/
12	On June 14, 2013, the court ordered the parties to file a Joint Case Management Statement.
13	See Docket Item No. 61. The parties did so on June 28, 2013. See Docket Item No. 62.
14	Having reviewed the statement, the court finds that it does not comply with the Standing
15	Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California concerning the contents of a Joint Case
16	Management Statement. Specifically, the parties did not sufficiently address alternative dispute
17	resolution or provide a schedule as required. Accordingly, the court orders the parties to file an
18	Amended Joint Case Management Statement which complies with this District's Standing Order or
19	or before <b>July 12, 2013.</b>
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: July 2, 2013
23	EDWARD J. DAVII A United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> As to ADR, the Standing Order requires the parties to address "[p]rospects for settlement,
27	ADR efforts to date, and a specific ADR plan for the case, including compliance with ADR L.R. 3-

1

CASE NO. 5:10-cv-04374 EJD

28

ORDER RE: AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

ADR efforts to date, and a specific ADR plan for the case, including compliance with ADR L.R. 3-5 and a description of key discovery or motions necessary to position the parties to negotiate a resolution." With regard to scheduling, the Standing Order requires proposed dates "for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference and trial."